



LEGACYLEXICON™

THE \$20 BITCOIN LEGACY BUILDERS GUIDE

FREE DIGITAL EDITION • 2026

Vaughan Briceson Cook
LegacyLexicon LLC

KNOWLEDGE TODAY. LEGACY TOMORROW.

THE \$20 BITCOIN LEGACY BUILDERS GUIDE

SECOND EDITION — CONNECTING THE DOTS

Large-print edition • Information snapshot: September 3, 2026

Written and researched by Vaughan Briceson Cook •
Published by LegacyLexicon LLC

Copyright & educational disclaimer

Copyright © 2026 Vaughan Briceson Cook. Permission is granted to download, print and share unaltered copies of this free digital guide for personal, noncommercial use. Other rights are reserved, except uses permitted by law. This guide shares research and the author's perspective, not individualized financial, investment, legal or tax advice. Bitcoin can lose some or all of its value; no return is promised. ChatGPT assisted with research, organization, drafting, editing and production.

Contents

Mission and value first. Misconceptions next. Then reserves and legislation, scarcity, banking, holdings, risks and the legacy perspective.

Chapter 1 — A Gift for America's Working-Class Legacy Builders

Chapter 2 — The Biggest Misconceptions

Chapter 3 — The Information That Changed My Perspective

Chapter 4 — Supply, Scarcity & Divisibility

Chapter 5 — Banking, Holdings & the Wider Evidence

Chapter 6 — Understanding the Risks

Chapter 7 — The Decision Is Yours—Think Beyond Yourself

Chapter 1 — A Gift for America's Working-Class Legacy Builders

Who I am—and why I created this guide

I'm Vaughan Briceson Cook, a Placerville, California native and founder of LegacyLexicon. I'm a new business owner, beginning my journey in creating educational products while working within a minimum-wage family budget. I created this guide for people who want to build something meaningful for their families, even when there is not much money left at the end of the month.

I wanted to understand what Bitcoin might mean beyond the next price move. As I researched, the information began to form a picture I had not seen before. It changed my perspective enough that I wanted to share it with as many people as I could.

This is my attempt to do that by combining relevant information into one easy-to-read and easy-to-understand location, explaining why it mattered to me, and leaving the decision with you. Think of it as a friend saying, "Here is what I've learned. I believe you can benefit from it."

The mission: knowledge before personal gain

My goal is to put the most significant evidence currently available pointing toward Bitcoin's possible long-term

value into the hands of as many Americans as possible, for free! I want working parents, grandparents—literally everyone, including those who are only beginning to build a future—to have the same opportunity to examine the facts that the wealthiest and well-connected individuals may already have.

I want every American to understand the financial changes taking place in the world they live in and have the most meaningful information to consider whether those changes offer a place to begin building a legacy. My hope is that ordinary families receive useful and valuable information early enough to recognize current opportunities and capitalize on them, rather than learning about them after the fact, as happens with so many opportunities. That is the entire reason for making this knowledge accessible now, not to pressure anyone into a rushed investment.

Your success is this guide's ultimate mission. If it helps even one person make informed choices that improve their financial future, it will have accomplished what it was designed to do. That success does not have to mean buying bitcoin. My hope is for everyone who doesn't already understand the opportunities to be given the information that creates those opportunities as early as humanly possible. If this guide only helps people avoid

LEGACYLEXICON • SECOND EDITION

mistakes or make more thoughtful decisions for their families, then the guide has also succeeded in its mission.

This guide is designed entirely for the greatest possible benefit to readers, not the highest possible income for me or my business. I'm so confident that LegacyLexicon can grow through truth, trust and accessibility, with support earned by the value people receive, that I decided to remove the original \$20 price tag, making the digital guide completely free. Sharing useful knowledge comes first; financial support is welcome, never a condition of access. I have faith in my fellow Americans to give financial support of their own free will.

My wider hope is a more prosperous America: families with greater financial security, more room to support local businesses and neighbors, and more opportunity to build for the next generation. I believe this can create a more unified and happier America. Stronger communities can help strengthen our country. I want that prosperity to support America's long-term economic resilience and its capacity to protect its people and assist its allies and other countries in need. That is the national purpose behind spreading awareness—not a prediction that Bitcoin alone will produce those results.

I am presenting it as a Labor Day gift to America's working-class legacy builders, from my family to yours.

The gift is the information and the time spent bringing it together. It is not a promise that a particular investment will make anyone wealthy.

Why the guide went from free, to \$20, to free

My first intention was to give this information away. Then I planned a \$20 price tag, hoping the guide was cheap enough for everyone to be able to purchase while also helping my family live a more prosperous life. My plan was to make it free once that goal was reached.

I eventually decided not to make readers wait for that. I have enough confidence in the value of this knowledge to share it first, and enough faith in the American people to trust that those who find it useful may choose to support the work on their own terms.

That is why the digital guide is free. There is no required payment after reading it, and no obligation to support me because you agree with my conclusion. Any amount of voluntary support is greatly appreciated; so is sharing the guide with someone who might benefit. Its value is yours to judge.

The digital version is intended to be easy to download, print, read and pass along. Printed copies are also planned through Amazon for people who prefer a physical book without having to print it on their own. Optional

contributions in traditional or digital currency will use the supported methods listed on the official website. Support helps provide my family with basic needs and produce more educational work; it is separate from anyone's decision to invest.

Why the interior is text-only

The interior contains no images or illustrations because I want to get straight to the information, without decorative pages or wasted space. A text-only interior also supports my goal of a more affordable printed edition, without needing full-color illustrations. The final price will depend on the finished print format and production costs.

Readable type comes first; I will not make the words smaller just to make the book shorter. The size of the text is intentionally large for those individuals who don't have the best vision.

Who this guide is for

This is for working-class Americans: parents and grandparents, young adults, people starting over, and families trying to build on an ordinary income. It is for readers who have looked at Bitcoin and thought, "I missed my chance," "It is too expensive," "My amount would not matter," or "I do not understand it."

You do not need money to invest to make use of this guide. Sharing clear information can be a contribution of its own. If a friend or relative has dismissed Bitcoin, knows little about it or has never seen the evidence together, this gives you something to offer without asking them to take your word for it. Invite them to read if they are interested; their decision remains theirs.

I hope it reaches neighbors, coworkers, employees, caregivers and caretakers, fast-food workers and convenience-store clerks—people whose everyday work keeps the country moving. It is also for older Americans who do not use the internet. A printed copy lets them examine the information without an account, an app or a social-media feed.

You do not need to become a trader or a programmer to read this guide. You do not need to agree with me. Curiosity is enough to begin.

My voice, my family's funding, your decision

My family and I have paid 100% of this guide's production costs. I used ChatGPT to help with research, organization, drafting, editing and production. The ideas, direction and perspective are mine, and I am responsible for the final wording I approve.

No company sponsorship, partnership or outside funding paid to influence, change or add to the guide. I earn nothing from your Bitcoin purchases or investment returns. I am not asking you to invest for my benefit.

This guide brings together honest, fact-based, publicly available information—not secret knowledge reserved for the wealthiest 1% or the best-connected people. What is different is the purpose and the work of selecting, explaining and connecting the evidence in one accessible place. It is not a secret system, a sales pitch or an instruction to buy. Facts and sources support the discussion; the conclusion remains my interpretation, and yours may be different.

Chapter 2 — The Biggest Misconceptions

Before considering the evidence, it helps to examine the ideas that can stop the conversation before it begins. Some of these concerns shaped my own thinking. Others are common questions worth addressing. I do not assume that every doubt is a mistake—or that every reader has the same starting point.

The purpose is not to replace skepticism with enthusiasm. It is to make room for an informed decision, including the decision that Bitcoin is not right for you.

“I missed my chance.”

Looking backward can make an opportunity feel closed. It is easy to imagine what we would have done when bitcoin cost a dollar or less, with everything we know now. But we cannot buy at yesterday's prices, and the past does not tell us what a purchase today will become.

What changed for me was the time frame. I stopped judging Bitcoin only by the opportunity I had missed and started asking what it might mean over the years ahead. There is a difference between missing the earliest prices and having nothing left to learn about an asset's future.

When I say the door is still open, I mean ordinary people can still examine the evidence and, where access and their circumstances allow, consider owning a small amount. I do not mean today's price is automatically cheap or that another period of enormous growth is guaranteed.

“Bitcoin is too expensive.”

The price of one whole bitcoin is not the minimum price of participation. One bitcoin contains 100,000,000 smaller units called satoshis. Ownership can be expressed in those units rather than only in whole coins. [26]

I already knew that fractional purchases were possible. What I did not know was the scale of that divisibility or the name satoshi. Learning it helped me look at a small holding as ownership, rather than dismissing it because the number began with a decimal point.

That changes how the amount can be understood—not what it is worth. A small holding is still exposed to loss, and an available purchase is not necessarily an affordable purchase for every household. But a whole coin is not the entry requirement.

“The amount I can invest will not make a difference.”

Someone else’s balance is a poor measure of what matters to your family. An amount that looks small beside a company treasury may still represent a deliberate decision made from your own work and your own budget.

Owning even a little bitcoin is still owning bitcoin. Whether that holding eventually becomes financially significant depends on the amount owned and what happens to its value. It cannot be promised in advance.

My interest is in what small, manageable ownership could become over many years—not in pretending a tiny purchase automatically creates a fortune. If Bitcoin grows substantially over a long period, a modest beginning could

become a meaningful part of a family's assets. If it does not, patience alone will not create the result.

There is no reason to feel embarrassed by a budget that is smaller than someone else's. There is also no reason to stretch it to prove that you believe.

“Only rich people can benefit.”

Large investors can acquire much more, but wealth is not a condition written into Bitcoin's units of ownership.

Satoshis make it possible to own less than one coin. [26]

That does not make everybody's opportunity equal.

Income, access, personal responsibilities and the ability to tolerate loss differ. Some people can buy regularly; some can buy occasionally; some should not put money at risk at all.

The point is that working-class people do not need to compare their starting position with a billionaire's before learning about Bitcoin. The information belongs in ordinary households too. A decision to wait, learn or decline is no less valid than a decision to participate.

“Bitcoin is backed by nothing, so it cannot have value.”

Bitcoin is not a claim on gold, government reserves or a company's promise to repay you. Its value depends on

people continuing to find its properties useful and choosing to own or use it. [5, 7]

No redemption promise is different from no possible usefulness. Publicly verifiable scarcity and the ability to transfer ownership are part of the case people make for it. They are reasons to investigate, not a guaranteed floor under the price.

For me, the useful question became: what do people value about this system, and is the demand for those properties becoming more substantial? The evidence later in the guide is what made that question worth pursuing.

“Bitcoin is a scam.”

Fraud involving bitcoin is real. That does not make a fraudulent offer and the Bitcoin network the same thing. The network’s rules can be examined independently; a person promising easy profits is making a separate claim. [6, 7]

The Federal Trade Commission warns about guaranteed-return pitches, impersonators and supposed investment managers who persuade people to send cryptocurrency to them. A famous name, polished website or confident story does not make an offer reliable. [27]

It is possible to take Bitcoin seriously and refuse every high-pressure offer attached to its name. Learning the distinction should make someone harder to mislead, not easier to persuade.

“I do not understand it, so it is not for me.”

Unfamiliar information can feel like a closed door, especially when explanations begin with specialist language. That is one reason I wanted this guide to exist.

You do not have to master every technical detail to examine a government document, a company’s reported holdings or the difference between a claim and a promise. You can begin by understanding the main evidence and deciding which questions deserve more attention.

Understanding enough to read this guide is not the same as knowing enough to buy, store or pass on bitcoin safely. Those practical subjects deserve their own clear explanations. For now, the aim is to make the evidence understandable—not to turn you into an expert overnight.

“More satoshis means more bitcoin is being created.”

Satoshis describe smaller units of the same asset. Dividing a coin into more pieces does not create more coins or increase the amount someone owns. Divisibility

and the supply limit answer different questions: how small ownership can be, and how much bitcoin can exist under the rules. [26]

That distinction matters to the larger picture. Small ownership can be possible without making the overall supply unlimited.

“A long-term investment should prove itself quickly.”

A long horizon and a short-term price prediction are different things. My interest is in what sustained participation and scarce supply might mean over a generation, not whether the next few weeks confirm my opinion.

Waiting is not proof that an investment is sound. But demanding an immediate result is also different from evaluating a long-term possibility. I want to judge the idea by its evidence, while remaining willing to change my view if that evidence changes.

“Big institutions make it safe.”

This is an assumption in the opposite direction: replacing dismissal with misplaced certainty. Government involvement, a large company holding bitcoin or an investment product's approval does not protect an

individual buyer from loss. The SEC's approval of certain spot Bitcoin products was not an endorsement of Bitcoin itself. [17, 23]

Institutional activity matters here because it shows what participants are doing. It is not permission to stop thinking independently. The same standards should apply to a supportive headline and a critical one: what happened, what does the record show, and what remains uncertain?

Chapter 3 — The Information That Changed My Perspective

From separate headlines to a connected picture

The change in my thinking did not come from a target price. It came from looking at decisions together instead of treating each as an isolated headline.

A government can change how it holds an asset. A company can commit money to accumulating it. An investment provider can make it easier for customers to gain exposure. A project abroad can turn interest into operating infrastructure. Those actions mean different things, and they should not be counted as if they were all the same kind of purchase.

Still, I wanted to understand why different participants were finding reasons to engage with the same asset. Was

there something about Bitcoin's design that helped explain the attention? Was the activity substantial enough to matter? Were the claims supported by records I could check?

What I looked for

I wanted more than someone's confidence. A dated holding figure told me more than a slogan about adoption. The text of a proposal told me more than a headline calling it a law. A distinction between money a fund holds for investors and a company's own treasury changed the meaning of the number.

Those details did not make the story less interesting. They made it more useful. If a case only works after its estimates become certainties and its proposals become accomplished facts, it is not the case I want to share.

The pages ahead therefore keep the names, dates and quantities. When a number is an estimate, it stays an estimate. When the connection is my interpretation, I say so. That lets you follow the trail without having to take my conclusion on faith.

A selection of the evidence—not the end of it

This guide does not contain all the evidence available about Bitcoin. I chose the developments I considered most

significant to explaining what changed my perspective. Including every related announcement would make the guide longer without necessarily making the picture clearer. The aim is to avoid redundancy while giving you enough substance to examine the connections.

New reports, decisions and developments continue to become available. I see the evidence presented here as support for my long-term view, but this guide is a dated selection—not a live record. Later information may strengthen that view, complicate it or challenge it. Continued research matters precisely because the picture does not stop changing when a guide is finished.

If these pages do not change your perspective or leave you unconvinced, I still encourage you to explore the sources and continue researching if the subject interests you. Look at credible criticism as well as supportive evidence. You are not being asked to keep reading until you agree with me. A view you can explain and examine for yourself is more valuable than agreement borrowed from someone else.

The question I began asking

What happens if more people, companies and governments want to hold an asset whose supply cannot simply expand in response?

That question brought the design and the real-world activity together for me. It did not establish a future price. It gave me a reason to examine the potential on a longer horizon.

The evidence begins with reserve policy and legislation, followed by the supply rules and small units of ownership, then banking and the scale of reported holdings.

The holdings in Chapter 5 show the scale of participation. Here are the public decisions around that ownership: government policy, legislative proposals, financial access, political business interests and physical infrastructure. These records matter more than my enthusiasm.

They are not interchangeable. Their value is in seeing what each establishes, then considering the connections without turning them into a claim that everyone shares the same plan.

A U.S. reserve changed the question

On March 6, 2025, President Donald Trump signed an executive order establishing a Strategic Bitcoin Reserve under the Treasury. It directed the reserve to begin with qualifying government-owned bitcoin from forfeitures and specified that bitcoin deposited there would not be sold. It also directed officials to develop budget-neutral strategies

for additional acquisitions without incremental taxpayer costs. [1]

The original seizures were not necessarily investment decisions. Choosing to retain eligible holdings as a national reserve was a policy decision. That distinction changed how I saw the asset.

At the March 2025 announcement, White House adviser David Sacks estimated U.S. government holdings at about 200,000 bitcoin—0.95% of the cap—and said a complete audit had not been conducted. It remains a historical estimate here, not a verified September 3 balance. [2]

These are U.S. government holdings, not Federal Reserve holdings. Treasury administers the reserve; not every coin in government custody qualifies, and legal obligations can include returning assets to victims. The order also treated Bitcoin separately from the stockpile of other digital assets. [1]

To me, the important change was unmistakable: the United States had created a policy for preserving eligible bitcoin as a reserve, instead of treating it only as property to sell.

A 4.76% proposal. A 20-year horizon.

The BITCOIN Act of 2025, S. 954, introduced March 11, 2025, proposed acquiring 200,000 bitcoin annually (0.95% of the cap) over five years—a total of one million (4.76%), with eligible transfers offsetting purchases. Section 5(c) proposed holding bitcoin acquired by the United States and deposited in the reserve for at least 20 years from acquisition, prohibiting sale, swapping, pledging or other disposal during that period. [3]

That percentage describes the scale of the proposed program, not an additional amount already removed from circulation. Existing eligible transfers could satisfy part of the goal; counting the full goal on top of existing government holdings would overstate it. [3]

The idea was still appearing in formal legislation in 2026. H.R. 8957, the American Reserve Modernization Act, introduced May 21, proposed a minimum 20-year holding period: from enactment for existing deposits, and from deposit for later additions. Its introduced text did not prescribe the same million-coin purchase program and included sale-rule provisions and a study of possible early-sale exceptions. [4]

The executive order's no-sale policy is an actual executive action. The twenty-year provisions cited here are

legislative proposals, not proof of an enacted or irreversible lock. Neither is a technical lock built into Bitcoin. [1, 3, 4]

But being proposed matters. Lawmakers were putting the idea of a generation-long Bitcoin reserve into formal legislation. That moved the subject beyond price predictions and into a proposed national strategy. It made me ask what they believed this asset could mean twenty years from now.

Why a proposal belongs in this evidence trail

A proposal does not create the purchases or the holding period it describes. It does show that an idea has reached the point where lawmakers have written a mechanism for it. That is a different kind of evidence from a completed acquisition, but it is not meaningless.

For me, the twenty-year horizon changed the scale of the conversation. It made the asset something to consider across a generation, not merely through the next market cycle. Whether that policy is adopted, changed or abandoned remains part of the story to follow—not a detail to assume away.

Chapter 4 — Supply, Scarcity & Divisibility

The supply rules and the scale of ownership belong in the same picture. Chapters 4 and 5 connect the cap, the coins still to be mined, divisibility, possible losses and reported stockpiles. Unless stated otherwise, every supply percentage uses the same denominator: 21 million bitcoin. Percentages are rounded; they are not shares of the coins offered for sale.

The supply is smaller than the headline suggests

Under Bitcoin's existing rules, total issuance approaches approximately 21 million coins. New issuance is reduced on a predetermined schedule; a higher price does not authorize extra supply. A government or company cannot simply order more bitcoin into existence under those rules.

[5]

The rules are independently checked by participants running validating software, including Bitcoin Core. They do not have to accept invalid issuance just because a powerful participant proposes it. The original Bitcoin paper also laid out economic incentives to keep a payment network operating without a trusted financial intermediary.

[6, 7]

That is what I mean by “built by design”: constrained issuance, independent verification and incentives supporting a global network. It is not a promise of a particular price or evidence of a hidden agreement to make Bitcoin valuable.

Already mined—and still to come

At the September 3, 2026 snapshot, Blockchain.com reported approximately 20,078,959 bitcoin issued—95.61% of the 21-million benchmark. That leaves approximately 921,041, or 4.39%, still to be issued relative to that rounded cap. These unissued coins cannot be bought as existing bitcoin today. The data was retrieved at 12:12 a.m. Pacific (07:12 UTC); the provider says its supply count can lag by up to an hour. [33]

Under the existing issuance schedule, the final new satoshis are expected around the year 2140. There is no guaranteed calendar day: blocks arrive at varying intervals. Mining is expected to continue processing transactions after new issuance ends. The important connection is that most of the eventual supply already exists, while the remainder arrives gradually—not all at once. [5, 34]

Satoshi's coins—and coins that may be lost

Sergio Demian Lerner's 2019 analysis attributed about 1.1 million bitcoin—5.24% of the cap—to an early mining pattern commonly associated with Satoshi Nakamoto, and found almost all of them unspent at the time. The identification with Satoshi is an inference, not confirmed ownership or a verified current balance. [8]

A holding of that size is significant. But historically dormant coins are not the same as coins proven permanently removed from circulation. We cannot confidently say Satoshi destroyed the keys or made those coins impossible to spend.

Losses are another constraint. Chainalysis estimated in March 2020 that 3.75 million bitcoin—17.86% of the cap—were lost. A more recent River estimate, published March 9, 2026, identified roughly 1.6 million lost bitcoin (7.62%), separately from an estimated 968,000 associated with Satoshi (4.61%). These are different dated estimates, not quantities to add together. Inactivity does not prove loss; keys that truly cannot be recovered do make coins unspendable. [5, 9, 37]

The difference between these estimates matters. There is no audited, exact percentage of permanently lost bitcoin. Satoshi-related estimates can overlap broader lost-coin

estimates; adding both can count the same coins twice. We therefore keep the uncertain loss estimates outside the holdings totals below.

The practical point is simple: a maximum of 21 million does not mean 21 million coins are available to buy. Some have yet to be issued, some may be inaccessible, and others are held by owners who may have no intention of selling at today's price. If demand grows, buyers compete for the supply sellers actually offer—not the entire maximum.

Divisibility: the piece that made the picture personal

One bitcoin can be divided into 100,000,000 satoshis. That was not just a vocabulary lesson for me. I knew I could buy fractions, but understanding those units helped connect the large-scale supply story to ownership within an ordinary family's budget. [26]

Scarcity and divisibility do different jobs. Scarcity limits the total supply; divisibility allows that supply to be held in very small amounts. The same asset can be considered by a large institution and owned in a modest quantity by a working-class individual. The quantities and financial circumstances differ enormously, but small ownership is not a different, lesser version of bitcoin.

That combination helped change my perspective: an asset can have a constrained supply without requiring every participant to buy an entire unit. It makes small participation possible; it does not make a purchase affordable for everyone or guarantee what that ownership will become.

No single owner can make the whole network obey

Bitcoin Core is software that independently validates the rules; it is not a company granting permission to create extra bitcoin. Developers can propose changes, but other participants choose the software and rules they accept. Influence in the ecosystem is not the same as unilateral control of the network. [6]

This is the distinction that matters to my argument. Accumulating a large holding does not give a company a right to issue more bitcoin when it wants it. The asset's supply is not managed like that company's own shares.

Why I began evaluating Bitcoin separately

The original Bitcoin paper described a way to make electronic payments without relying on a trusted intermediary. The reserve and treasury stories came later. I do not treat that later activity as proof that every participant is carrying out the creator's plan. [7]

Instead, I see a design that different people can use for different reasons. That is why putting every digital asset into one category was no longer a useful way for me to judge Bitcoin. My focus became this particular combination of rules, available supply and actual participation—not a general bet that everything called crypto would succeed.

Chapter 5 — Banking, Holdings & the Wider Evidence

Major-bank research considered Bitcoin beside gold

In September 2025, Deutsche Bank Research Institute analysts Marion Laboure and Camilla Siazon examined Bitcoin's potential place alongside gold in central-bank reserves by 2030. They assessed conditions including liquidity, volatility and trust. This was a research outlook, not an announcement that central banks had adopted that forecast. [22]

Its relevance to me was the question being taken seriously: could an asset with Bitcoin's design acquire a lasting place in national reserves?

Wall Street widened access

On January 10, 2024, the SEC approved the listing and trading of shares of certain spot Bitcoin exchange-traded products. It explicitly did not endorse Bitcoin itself.

BlackRock's iShares Bitcoin Trust ETF, IBIT, gave investors a product designed to reflect Bitcoin's price, subject to expenses and risks. Fund holdings belong to the investment structure for its investors; they are not equivalent to BlackRock buying that amount for its own corporate treasury. [17, 18]

In a December 1, 2025 update, Vanguard said its brokerage allowed selected third-party crypto ETFs and mutual funds, while maintaining that it offered no proprietary crypto products. That is expanded access, not proof Vanguard had adopted Bitcoin as its own reserve. [19]

Established financial firms were building or opening channels through which demand could reach Bitcoin. That was another concrete development beyond public enthusiasm.

Company stockpiles: information available September 3

These are the latest company balances verified for this edition from the sources listed. Each keeps its own

reporting date; they are not all September 3 balances. They show the scale of disclosed exposure, not a worldwide inventory or proof that the coins will never be sold.

Strategy: a treasury built over years

MicroStrategy, now Strategy, made Bitcoin its primary treasury reserve asset in 2020. Its third-quarter release that year reported 38,250 bitcoin (0.182% of the cap), acquired for \$425 million. Its latest verified holdings-table entry was 845,050 bitcoin as of August 30, 2026—4.02% of the entire 21-million benchmark. [10, 11]

That is a substantial, disclosed commitment of company resources over years. It shows why “studying Bitcoin” does not adequately describe what some businesses have been doing.

American Bitcoin and Eric Trump

Eric Trump is co-founder and chief strategy officer of American Bitcoin. In its May 6, 2026 results release, the company described itself as the world’s 16th-largest Bitcoin holder. That was its stated ranking at that date, not a permanent or independently established current ranking. [12]

The underlying numbers matter more than the rank. American Bitcoin reported adding 1,600 bitcoin during the

first quarter of 2026: 817 mined and 803 purchased, reaching about 7,021 at March 31—0.033% of the cap. It said it had sold none during that quarter. [12]

Its latest results release, dated August 3, reported approximately 8,002 bitcoin at June 30—0.038% of the cap. That included approximately 3,090 pledged for miner purchases (0.015%). Those pledged coins are included in the reported balance, not extra coins. For the conservative subtotal below, they are excluded. The growth still documents an active accumulation strategy and a direct connection between a president's son and a Bitcoin-focused public company. [13]

The activity extends beyond American companies

Japan's Metaplanet reported 40,177 bitcoin at March 31, 2026 (0.191% of the cap). At this edition's September 3 check, its official website displayed 43,000 bitcoin—0.205%. The website balance is a company disclosure checked on that date, not an independent audit of its wallets. [14, 35]

MARA's latest verified quarterly filing reported 35,577 bitcoin at June 30, 2026—0.169% of the cap—after sales of 23,093 during the first half (0.110%). Its balance included 9,270 loaned or pledged coins (0.044%); just 26,307 were classified as unrestricted (0.125%). Only the

unrestricted amount enters our conservative subtotal. The sales are also a reminder that corporate holdings can return to the market. [15]

What changed my perspective was the scale of actual buying and holding. More capital competing for limited available supply can matter enormously, even though no company's strategy guarantees the outcome.

More company holdings: Tesla and Block

Tesla's June 30, 2026 quarterly filing reported 11,509 bitcoin—0.055% of the cap—the same quantity disclosed for December 31, 2025. That is evidence of a continuing company holding, not an increase during those six months. [28]

Block reported approximately 9,117 bitcoin held for long-term investment at June 30, 2026—0.043% of the cap—compared with 8,883 at the end of 2025 (0.042%). It purchased 234 investment bitcoin during the first half and sold none of that investment holding. These are separate from coins used to facilitate customer activity. [29]

These are additional examples of actual company resources committed to Bitcoin. They broaden the picture beyond one outspoken executive or one kind of business. They do not show that every company is accumulating, or that the holdings can never be sold.

The scale of fund holdings

The iShares Bitcoin Trust ETF, IBIT, reported 734,261 bitcoin at June 30, 2026 (3.50% of the cap). Its official daily file, retrieved September 3, was dated September 1 and listed approximately 777,235 bitcoin—3.70%. Those coins belong to the fund for its investors, not BlackRock's own corporate treasury. [30, 31]

The latest GBTC balance verified for this edition is its June 30, 2026 filing: approximately 138,506 bitcoin—0.66% of the cap. That is below the approximately 165,591 reported at the end of 2025 (0.79%). A current daily balance was not verified, so this remains explicitly a June 30 figure. [32]

Fund holdings matter because substantial quantities of bitcoin sit behind products through which investors participate. But they are not a permanent lock. Investors can leave, and a fund's balance can change. A custodian holding those same coins should not be counted as a second owner when estimating institutional holdings.

Fund balances are kept separate from company treasuries. Neither the funds' custodian nor a company owning shares in a fund is added as another owner of those same underlying coins. Different reporting dates also mean the following sums illustrate scale; they do not establish a unique, same-day count of coins.

Government holdings and a proposed goal

The U.S. government estimate announced in March 2025 was about 200,000 bitcoin—0.95% of the cap. It was not an audited figure; a verified current government-wide balance was not established for this edition. We retain it as a historical estimate, not today's confirmed reserve. The one-million-bitcoin goal in S. 954 would equal 4.76%; it is a proposal, not an amount already acquired. Chapter 3 covers the policy and twenty-year provisions. [1–3]

What the figures add up to

The six company balances above total about 952,255 bitcoin, or 4.53% of the cap. After excluding American Bitcoin's pledged amount and MARA's loaned or pledged amount, the conservative subtotal is about 939,895 bitcoin—4.48%. It is a sum of dated disclosures, not proof that all those coins are unavailable to buyers. [11, 13, 15, 28, 29, 35]

For a clearly labeled scale illustration only: that adjusted company subtotal, plus the historical U.S. estimate and the 1.1-million Satoshi estimate, comes to about 2.24 million bitcoin—10.67% of the cap. Including the two separately identified fund balances brings the illustration to about 3.16 million—15.03%. The government and Satoshi inputs remain uncertain and dated; this is not a verified

current ownership total. [2, 8, 11, 13, 15, 28, 29, 31, 32, 35]

The proposed million-coin program and the lost-coin estimates are not added. Nor do we call the holdings permanently “off the market.” The 4.39% not yet issued is a separate supply constraint; the inaccessible portion of already-issued coins is unknown. There is no defensible single percentage for everything unavailable to buy.

That is the connection that matters to me: more than 95% of the eventual supply is already issued, substantial amounts appear in just a few disclosed holdings, some coins may be lost, and the rest depends on owners willing to sell. Divisibility lets ordinary families consider a small share of that same limited asset. Scarcity gives the demand question weight; it does not answer it or guarantee a price.

Political ties and global infrastructure

The billion-dollar crypto connection

The Trump crypto-income story also caught my attention. His annual financial disclosure for 2025, received by the Office of Government Ethics in June 2026, lists about \$635 million in royalties through CIC Digital’s Celebration Coins licensing agreement and about \$527 million in World Liberty-related token-sale proceeds under DT

Marks DeFi. Together, those listed entries exceed \$1.16 billion. [16]

Those are annual disclosed crypto-business income entries, not first-quarter Bitcoin trading profits. Crypto businesses and Bitcoin are not interchangeable. The record establishes substantial financial involvement in the wider industry; financial interests also mean enthusiasm is not independent evidence of investment quality.

Alongside Eric Trump's role, this was another reason I paid attention: the connection was financial and operational, not just rhetorical.

Bhutan turned participation into infrastructure

In May 2023, Bitdeer and Druk Holding & Investments, Bhutan's state-owned commercial holding company, announced a mining-development partnership. Bitdeer subsequently reported that its 100-megawatt Gedu facility became operational in the third quarter of 2023. [20, 21]

This was physical infrastructure involving a state-linked organization outside the United States. It does not prove a particular central-bank balance or permanent holding policy, but it shows participation taking different forms across borders.

Connecting the evidence without overstating it

Company holdings show committed resources. Reserve policy shows a government choosing how to treat eligible assets. Investment products show channels through which customers can participate. Infrastructure shows activity beyond a balance-sheet entry. Research shows questions being examined, not promises already fulfilled.

The picture becomes stronger when those categories remain distinct. I do not need to call a research outlook an adopted policy, or pretend companies never sell, to explain why the combined activity changed my perspective.

What caught my attention was the relationship between these decisions and the supply described in the previous chapter. If long-term demand expands, it meets a limited asset and sellers who decide whether to part with it. If that demand fades, the same record does not guarantee a higher price.

Chapter 6 — Understanding the Risks

The evidence changed my opinion; it did not remove uncertainty. This chapter is here because a friend sharing valuable information should also be clear about what could go wrong.

A long horizon does not remove loss

Bitcoin can experience severe price swings and lose substantial value. Holding for years does not create a guarantee that the price will recover or that a particular purchase will succeed. An investment product does not remove the underlying market risk. [23]

A price decline does not, by itself, tell us which part of a long-term argument is wrong. A price increase does not prove every part is right. The evidence still needs to be examined. There can be developments that weaken the case even when the price is rising, and developments worth studying when it is falling.

The participants can change direction

The company-sale example in the evidence matters. Holdings are not all permanently unavailable. Governments can change policy, proposals may never become law, and customers can withdraw their interest. A reserve proposal is a possible future policy, not an insurance policy for the reader.

The long-term case depends on more than scarcity. It depends on continued demand, a functioning network and confidence in the rules. I want those dependencies to remain visible, because they are the things my conclusion would need to keep earning over time.

The asset and the way someone holds it carry different risks

A belief in Bitcoin does not make every company, account or service reliable. Theft, lost access and the failure of a third party can matter separately from the market price. The FTC also warns that supposed guaranteed-profit offers and impersonation are common features of cryptocurrency scams. [23, 27]

This is not a wallet or inheritance manual. If you later choose to participate, learning how ownership will be protected and made accessible to the right people is part of that separate practical work. A family legacy should not depend on access no one else can recover.

My confidence is not your obligation

I believe the possibility is worth examining. That does not tell me what risk another household can carry. Waiting, continuing to learn, talking with family or deciding against Bitcoin are all choices a reader can make without letting me down.

My intention is to give you a clearer picture—not make you feel that you must act to avoid disappointing the person who gave you the guide.

Chapter 7 — The Decision Is Yours—Think Beyond Yourself

Connecting the dots: my conclusion

The supply rules came first. The reserve policy, legislative proposals, corporate accumulation, investment products and international infrastructure came later. Taken together, they changed what I thought Bitcoin could become.

I see separate decisions reinforcing a possible global trajectory: more organizations finding reasons to participate in a network whose supply cannot automatically expand to meet their demand. They do not need identical motives or a shared master plan. A government may want a reserve; a company may want long-term holdings; a fund may want fees; a miner may want operating profits.

That is why I believe Bitcoin has a plausible path toward becoming the most valuable asset in human history—by total market value, not the price of one coin. This is my conclusion from the evidence, not something the evidence has already proved.

The case still depends on sustained demand, useful and secure operation, and confidence in the rules. Institutions

can sell, policy can reverse and Bitcoin can suffer severe losses. Scarcity alone does not guarantee value. [5, 23]

I wanted this guide to make the evidence easy to see together. You do not have to reach my conclusion. Read the records, consider the connections, and decide what they mean to you.

Small beginnings, measured in years

My own approach is small, manageable purchases over many years within a working-class budget. I am not trying to match the holdings of a company, a celebrity or someone posting a balance online. I can only invest what I can invest, and that is an acceptable place to begin.

I believe a modest holding could become part of substantial—even generational—wealth if Bitcoin's value grows enormously over time. That possibility matters to me, but it is not a forecast for a particular amount or a promise to my family. The result still depends on what I own and what happens to its value.

Consistency gives my approach a routine; it does not guarantee a profitable outcome. My family's needs come first. Money needed for essential expenses or near-term security is not money I want depending on the next Bitcoin price.

I do not go looking for Bitcoin's daily price. I usually see it only when a news app or another platform puts it in front of me. The price does not determine what I am able and willing to set aside; my family's budget does.

That is why a price swing alone does not automatically rewrite my plan. It does not mean I ignore new information or changes in our circumstances. A long-term view should leave room to reconsider, not require me to defend every decision forever.

Where my family goes

The Bitcoin platform my family and I use exclusively is [River.com](#). I chose it for its Bitcoin-only focus and the cost of how we use it. That is my own experience and preference, not a claim that it will be the least expensive or best fit for every reader. [24]

River has not sponsored this guide. I include it because readers may want to know where my family goes after examining the same information. You do not need to use it—or any platform—for this guide to be useful.

What a legacy means to me

I am interested in more than the size of an account. A legacy can include the questions we teach our children to ask, the habit of checking a claim before trusting it, and

the willingness to build patiently with the resources we actually have.

Bitcoin may become part of that foundation for my family. The larger purpose is to give the next generation more understanding and more choices. Knowledge that helps a family avoid a bad decision can be valuable too.

If you share this guide with someone, I hope the conversation sounds like an invitation: “Here is something worth looking at. What do you think?” It should not become a test of whether they trust you, or a demand that they reach the same conclusion.

What comes next

This guide is the evidence trail. If it reaches enough people and proves useful, I intend to create another free guide for readers who want practical help getting started. That companion would address the questions this one deliberately leaves for later, including evaluating a purchase method, protecting ownership and planning responsibly for family access.

For this guide, there is no required next action. You may want to follow a source, print a copy for a relative, ask a question or simply let the information settle. Your attention has value whether or not it turns into an investment.

Keep learning

When companies, investment providers and even our own government are taking Bitcoin seriously in the ways documented here, I believe it is worth becoming more informed. I would rather spend time understanding those changes now than look back twenty years from now wishing I had asked the questions sooner.

Being able to consider a small purchase on an ordinary income means the door to participation has not automatically closed. It does not tell us whether today's price is good value or whether Bitcoin suits a particular family. Do not let yesterday's price—or what someone else paid last month or last year—decide what you are willing to learn. Look at the evidence, today's price and your own circumstances together. Learning now leaves the decision with you.

Bitcoin price: research to this edition's snapshot

Research starting benchmark — June 30, 2026:
\$58,558.86 per bitcoin, in U.S. dollars, using the reported daily closing price. [25]

Snapshot — September 3, 2026, 12:12 a.m. Pacific (07:12 UTC): \$78,062.95 per bitcoin, from Coinbase's public

BTC/USD spot-price feed. Compared with the June 30 benchmark, that is an increase of \$19,504.09, or 33.31%, across 65 calendar days—just over two months. The starting figure is Yahoo’s daily close; the ending figure is a Coinbase spot quote, so this is an indicative historical comparison, not a single-provider return series. [25, 36]

This edition intentionally freezes the price and information cutoff at September 3. It is not a publication-day quote, a record of my own investment return or a forecast. A short period of appreciation does not prove the long-term theory. The evidence—not an expectation that recent gains must continue—is why I wanted to share the guide.

Thank you for reading

Thank you for giving this guide your time and attention. I hope connecting the dots has given you a clearer perspective and a useful way to talk about Bitcoin with friends and family.

If it helped you, pass the free digital guide along—or print a copy for someone who would otherwise miss it. Sharing it is meaningful support too. Whether you share, offer feedback or choose to contribute, my family and I appreciate your help in bringing this work to more Americans.

My social accounts are as new as this guide and still have very little content. If you would like to help them grow, a follow, thoughtful comment, shared post or conversation about the guide is welcome. Questions and feedback can help shape what comes next. These are valuable forms of nonfinancial support—not another obligation or a condition of receiving the guide.

Vaughan Briceson Cook

Founder, LegacyLexicon LLC

Stay connected with LegacyLexicon

Instagram — [@legacylexiconllc](#)

TikTok — [@legacylexiconllc](#)

YouTube — [@LegacyLexiconLLC](#)

X — [@LegacyLexicon](#)

Reddit — [u/LegacyLexiconLLC](#)

LinkedIn — [LegacyLexicon LLC](#)

[linkedin.com/company/legacylexiconllc](https://www.linkedin.com/company/legacylexiconllc)

Facebook — [LegacyLexicon — official Page](#)

facebook.com/pages/LegacyLexicon/1245433231984138/

The free guide, updates and ways to support

[legacylexicon.com](#) | [legacylexicon.com/free](#)

Support is welcome, never required. Please use the official website for current links and supported contribution options.

Thank you for being part of this beginning.

For America's families. For the generations after us.

Sources & evidence notes

Information cutoff: September 3, 2026. The latest verified balances retain their actual reporting dates. Holdings subtotals and the estimate-based illustration in Chapter 5 are not an audited same-day inventory or a measure of permanently unavailable coins. Proposals remain proposals. This edition is a fixed record, not a live update.

- [1] White House — Strategic Bitcoin Reserve executive order, March 6, 2025.
- [2] Associated Press — Government Bitcoin reserve announcement, March 6–7, 2025.
- [3] U.S. Government Publishing Office — S. 954, introduced text, March 11, 2025; Section 5.
- [4] U.S. Government Publishing Office — H.R. 8957, introduced text, May 21, 2026; Sections 4–5.
- [5] Bitcoin.org — Frequently asked questions. Supply, lost coins and value.
- [6] Bitcoin Core — Independent validation.
- [7] Satoshi Nakamoto — Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System, 2008; Sections 1, 5 and 6.
- [8] Sergio Demian Lerner — Early-mining “Patoshi” analysis, April 16, 2019.
- [9] Chainalysis — Bitcoin market analysis, March 18, 2020.

- [10] MicroStrategy — Third-quarter 2020 results, October 27, 2020.
- [11] Strategy — Shares and Bitcoin holdings. August 30, 2026 entry; checked September 3.
- [12] American Bitcoin — First-quarter 2026 results, May 6, 2026.
- [13] American Bitcoin — Second-quarter 2026 results, August 3, 2026.
- [14] Metaplanet — Notice of additional Bitcoin purchases, April 2, 2026.
- [15] MARA — Second-quarter 2026 Form 10-Q, filed August 6, 2026.
- [16] Office of Government Ethics — Donald Trump’s 2025 annual disclosure, received June 29, 2026. Schedule 1, Part 2, pp. 1 and 8–9 (PDF pp. 848, 855–856).
- [17] SEC — Statement on spot Bitcoin exchange-traded products, January 10, 2024.
- [18] iShares — Bitcoin Trust ETF, IBIT.
- [19] Vanguard — Cryptocurrencies and Vanguard, December 1, 2025.
- [20] Bitdeer — Druk Holding & Investments partnership, May 3, 2023.
- [21] Bitdeer — Third-quarter 2023 results, November 13, 2023.

- [22] Deutsche Bank Research Institute — Bitcoin vs. Gold: The Future of Central Bank Reserves by 2030, September 22, 2025. Original research PDF on a third-party host.
- [23] SEC Investor.gov — Spot Bitcoin and Ether ETP bulletin, September 9, 2024.
- [24] River — Six Years In: What's Our Focus?, March 4, 2025.
- [25] Yahoo Finance — BTC/USD historical prices. June 30, 2026 daily close.
- [26] Bitcoin.org — Vocabulary: Satoshi.
- [27] Federal Trade Commission — Cryptocurrency scams. Guaranteed-return and impersonation warnings; checked September 2, 2026.
- [28] Tesla — Second-quarter 2026 Form 10-Q. June 30 holdings; Note 2, digital-assets footnote.
- [29] Block — Second-quarter 2026 Form 10-Q. Note 9, Bitcoin, and Liquidity and Capital Resources.
- [30] iShares Bitcoin Trust ETF — Second-quarter 2026 Form 10-Q. Schedule of Investments, June 30, 2026.
- [31] iShares — IBIT daily holdings. September 1 file, retrieved September 3, 2026.
- [32] Grayscale — GBTC Form 10-Q. June 30, 2026 holdings.
- [33] Blockchain.com — Supply query and API notes. Retrieved September 3, 2026, 07:12 UTC.

[34] Bitcoin.org — Halving countdown and issuance schedule. Checked September 3, 2026.

[35] Metaplanet — Official BTC balance. Checked September 3, 2026.

[36] Coinbase — BTC/USD spot quote. September 3, 2026, 07:12:46 UTC.

[37] River — Only 1 million bitcoin left to be mined. March 9, 2026.

LegacyLexicon
SECOND EDITION

THE EVIDENCE THAT CHANGED MY PERSPECTIVE

Bitcoin's future is uncertain. Its place in the financial world is changing.

This guide connects the public decisions and reported holdings that led me to take Bitcoin's long-term potential seriously: reserve policy and legislation, scarcity and divisibility, banking and institutional holdings, and the risks that remain.

I wrote it for America's working-class legacy builders—and for anyone who wants to share meaningful information with friends, family or older readers who prefer print. You do not need to invest, or agree with me, to examine the evidence.

**Read the evidence. Connect the dots.
The decision is yours.**

The complete digital guide is free.
Optional support is welcome, never required.

legacylexicon.com/free

Vaughan Briceson Cook